

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/879,683	06/11/2001	Limor Schweitzer	XACTP006	4994	
28875 7:	11/02/2006		EXAMINER		
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			MILEF, ELDA G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3692		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
ر ب	Advisory Action	09/879,683	SCHWEITZER, LIMOR				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elda Milef	3692				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	S			
THE	HE REPLY FILED 05 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
D)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS							
3. 🔀	3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
	 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
	appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			01.004			
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTC	JL-324).			
5. <u> </u>	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendment o	anceling the			
	non-allowable claim(s).						
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution of the unit proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution of the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: 1-29.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. ∐	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. [Other:						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added limitations to claims raise new issues.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1, 13, 25, 26 raise new issures that require further consideration and may require a further search.

RICHARD E CHILCOT, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATELLY EXAMINER